arXiv:1511.03810v2 [math.NT] 11 Jun 2016

Congruent Elliptic Curves with Non-trivial Shafarevich-Tate Groups

Zhangjie Wang

June 14, 2016

Abstract

We study a subclass of congruent elliptic curves $E^{(n)} : y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$, where n is a positive integer congruent to 1 (mod 8) with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 4). We characterize such $E^{(n)}$ with Mordell-Weil rank zero and 2-primary part of Shafarevich-Tate group isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$. We also discuss such $E^{(n)}$ with 2-primary part of Shafarevich-Tate group isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^{2k}$ with $k \geq 2$.[1]

1 Introduction

A positive integer n is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right triangle with rational lengths, which is equivalent the congruent elliptic curve

$$E^{(n)} : y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$$

has positive Mordell-Weil rank. Heegner [1] proved that any prime number $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ is a congruent number. Recently, Tian [2] introduced the induction method involving L-value and as a corollary he proved that: for any $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many square-free congruent number $n \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ with exactly k prime divisors.

In this paper, we mainly study rank zero congruent elliptic curves with 2-primary part of Shafarevich-Tate group non-trivial. Li-Tian [3] considered those n with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 8). They found a sufficient condition for n to be a non-congruent number with $Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$. Rhoades [4] suspected that their condition is also necessary, which is proved in Theorem 3 of this paper. Under our case, Ouyang-Zhang [5] also found a sufficient condition for $n \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ non-congruent with $Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$.

To state our characterization, we introduce some notations. For a positive square-free integer n, let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the ideal class group of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ and D be the fundamental discriminant of K. We denote $\mathcal{D}$ to be the set of all positive square-free divisors of D. Then $\mathcal{D}$ has a group structure. Gauss genus theory(see Proposition 2) implies that there is a 2 to 1 correspondence θ between $\mathcal{D} \cap N(K^\times)$ and $\mathcal{A}_n[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}_n$. Here $N(K^\times)$ denotes the image of the norm map from $K^\times$ to $\mathbb{Q}^\times$, $\mathcal{A}_n[2]$ denotes the 2-elementary subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_n$, and $2\mathcal{A}_n$ denotes the subgroup of the square elements in $\mathcal{A}_n$. We define the 2^i-rank $h_{2^i}(n)$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ by $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2} 2^{i-1}\mathcal{A}_n/2^i\mathcal{A}_n$ for $i \geq 1$. Then we have $h_4(n) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{A}_n[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}_n$. If $h_4(n) = 1$, then there exist $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ corresponding to the non-trivial element in $\mathcal{A}_n[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}_n$. Moreover if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 4),

[1] Congruent Elliptic Curves with Non-trivial Shafarevich-Tate Groups.SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics , Doi: 10.1007/s11425-015–0741-3

then the product of odd part d_i' of d_i equals n. This is because the kernel of θ is $\{1, n\}$ in this case. Then we denote $d = d(n)$ be the maximal integer of d_1', d_2'.

Now we can state our first main result.

Theorem 1. *Let n be a positive square-free integer congruent to* 1 (mod 8) *with all prime factors congruent to* 1 (mod 4) *distinct and $h_4(n) = 1$, then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $h_8(n) \equiv \frac{d(n)-1}{4} \pmod 2$;

(ii) $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ *and* $Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 is the following.

- Note that a necessary condition of (ii) holds is $s_2(n) = 2$, where $s_2(n)$ is the pure 2-Selmer rank given by $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})$. By Monsky matrix (§2.1) and Redei matrix (§3.1), we deduce that $s_2(n) = 2$ is equivalent to $h_4(n) = 1$.

- From the short exact sequence $0 \to E^{(n)}[2] \to E^{(n)}[4] \xrightarrow{\times 2} E^{(n)}[2] \to 0$, we derive the following long exact sequence

$$0 \to E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})/2E^{(n)}[4](\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}) \to \mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)}) \to \mathrm{ImSel}_4(E^{(n)}) \to 0 \quad (1.1)$$

  Moreover Cassels [6] introduced a pairing on $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})$ with kernel given by $\mathrm{ImSel}_4(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})$ (§2.2). Note that (ii) is equivalent to $\#\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}) = \#\mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)})$, which is equivalent to $\#E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})/2E^{(n)}[4](\mathbb{Q}) = \#\mathrm{ImSel}_4(E^{(n)})$ by the long exact sequence. From the Cassels pairing, we know (ii) is equivalent to the Cassels pairing is non-degenerate.

- Cassels pairing is computable(§4.1), its non-degeneration in this case is equivalent to a quartic residue symbol.

- Gauss genus theory (§3.2) reduces this residue symbol to 8-rank $h_8(n)$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$.

For the case $k \geq 2$, we have the following second main result.

Theorem 2. *Let n be a positive square-free integer with all prime factors congruent to* 1 (mod 8) *and k be a positive integer. Assume that either $h_8(n) = k-1$ with the ideal class $[(2, \sqrt{-n})] \notin 4\mathcal{A}_n$ or $h_8(n) = k$. If n has a decomposition $d_1 \cdots d_k$ with $d_i > 1$ satisfying the following ideal class group conditions:*

1. $h_4(d_i) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k$;
2. $\left(\frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}}\right) = 1$ *for any prime divisor p_{i_j} of d_{i_j} with $i_1 \neq i_2$, where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol;*
3. $h_8(d_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Then

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \;\; Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^{2k} \quad (1.2)$$

We remark that for $k = 2$, the condition is not empty by Remark 4. And in §4.2 we can get congruent elliptic curves such that (1.2) holds under a more general condition (see Theorem 4). As to the distribution result of elliptic curves in Theorem 1 and 2, we will study this in a coming paper [7] but with Theorem 2 limited to $k = 2$.

2 Congruent Elliptic Curves

Let $E^{(n)}$ be the congruent elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, where n is a positive square-free integer. Then from classical result, we can identify the 2-Selmer group of $E^{(n)}$ with:

$$\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}) = \left\{\Lambda = (d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \left(\mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^3 \middle| D_\Lambda(\mathbb{A}) \neq \emptyset,\ d_1d_2d_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right\}$$

where $\mathbb{A}$ is the adele ring over $\mathbb{Q}$ and for $\Lambda = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$, D_Λ is a genus one curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by:

$$\begin{cases} H_1: & -nt^2 + d_2u_2^2 - d_3u_3^2 = 0 \\ H_2: & -nt^2 + d_3u_3^2 - d_1u_1^2 = 0 \\ H_3: & 2nt^2 + d_1u_1^2 - d_2u_2^2 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Under this identification, $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})/2E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ is given by: $(x - n, x + n, x)$ if $(x, y) \in E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) - E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ and $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ corresponds to

$$\left\{(2, 2n, n), (-2n, 2, -n), (-n, n, -1), (1, 1, 1)\right\}$$

Then these 4 elements correspond to $(n, 0), (-n, 0), (0, 0), O$ respectively under the Kummer map.

2.1 Monsky Matrix

Monsky (see the appendix of Heath-Brown [8]) represented $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ with the kernel of a $2k \times 2k$ matrix M over $\mathbb{F}_2$, which is closely related to 4-rank of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$.

Before introducing the Monsky matrix, we define the additive Legendre symbol $\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]$, where p is a prime and a an integer coprime to p, it is 0 if the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ and 1 otherwise.

For a positive square-free odd integer $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$, the corresponding Monsky matrix M_n is defined by:

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} A + D_{-2} & D_2 \\ D_2 & A + D_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D_u = \mathrm{diag}\left\{\left[\frac{u}{p_1}\right], \cdots, \left[\frac{u}{p_k}\right]\right\}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{k\times k}$ with $a_{ij} = \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right]$ if $i \neq j$, and $a_{ii} = \sum_{l\neq i} a_{il}$.

To connect $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ with the kernel of corresponding Monsky matrix, we first choose representatives of $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ with nice properties, see the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *For a positive square-free odd integer n, the following holds:*

(1) Any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$ can be represented by (d_1, d_2, d_3) with d_i square-free integers, $d_2 > 0, d_1|2n, d_2|2n$ and d_1, d_2 have the same parity;

(2) Assume that all elements in $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$ have representatives as in (1), then for any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$, there is a unique $\Lambda_0 \in E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ such that $\Lambda\Lambda_0 = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ with $d_1 > 0, d_2 > 0, d_1|n, d_2|n$;

Proof. (1): Since $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$, we may chose d_i square-free integers. For $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$ we have $D_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, this implies that $d_2 > 0$.

If $d_1 \nmid 2n$, then there is a prime divisor $p|d_1$ with $p \nmid 2n$. Since $d_1d_2d_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$, hence $p|d_2d_3$, we assume $p|d_2$, which implies $p \nmid d_3$. Consider $D_\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: from H_3 we know that $p|t$, then use H_1 and $p|d_2, p \nmid d_3$ we get $p|u_3$. Comparing p-divisibility of two sides of H_2, we get $p|u_1$. Again consider H_3, then $p|u_2$ by counting p-divisibility of two sides. We arrive at $p|(t, u_1, u_2, u_3)$, then we can easily get they are infinitely p-divisible, which is impossible, hence $d_1|2n$. Similarly $d_2|2n$.

d_1, d_2 has same parity: as else 2 divide exact one of d_1, d_2, for example $2|d_1$, then $2 \nmid d_2$, this implies $2|d_3$, so from H_3 we know $2|u_2$ and from H_2 we know $2|t$, then by 2-divisibility of H_3, we get $2|u_1$, again by 2-divisibility of H_2, we get $2|u_3$, hence $2|(t, u_1, u_2, u_3)$, same argument as above implies this is impossible, hence d_1, d_2 has same parity.

(2): If d_1 is even, then by (1) so is d_2, then $\Lambda_0 = (2, 2n, n)$ is the unique element in $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ with the required property if $d_1 > 0$, else $(-2n, 2, -n)$ is the unique one. If d_1 is odd, then so is d_2, if $d_1 < 0$ then $(-n, n, 1)$ is the unique element satisfying the required property, else $(1, 1, 1)$ is. □

For the representatives of $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ as in (2) of Lemma 1, we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2] \longrightarrow \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2k} \;\middle|\; M_n x = 0 \right\} \tag{2.2}$$

given by $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \mapsto \big(v_{p_1}(d_1), \cdots, v_{p_k}(d_1), v_{p_1}(d_2), \cdots v_{p_k}(d_2)\big)^T$, where $v_p(d)$ denotes the p-adic valuation of d.

Now we explain why (2.2) is a bijection: For Λ chosen as in (2) of Lemma 1, we have $D_\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for $p \nmid n$, while for $p|n$ the local solvability of D_Λ is:

(1) $p \nmid d_1, p \nmid d_2$: $\left(\frac{d_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d_2}{p}\right) = 1$;

(2) $p \nmid d_1, p|d_2$: $\left(\frac{2d_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2n/d_2}{p}\right) = 1$;

(3) $p|d_1, p \nmid d_2$: $\left(\frac{-2n/d_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2d_2}{p}\right) = 1$;

(4) $p|d_1, p|d_2$: $\left(\frac{-n/d_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{n/d_2}{p}\right) = 1$.

Assume $d_1 = \prod_1^k p_i^{x_i}, d_2 = \prod_1^k p_i^{y_i}$, then the above says that: for p_i

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \sum_{l \neq i} \left[\frac{p_l}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] &= x_i \left[\frac{n/d_1}{p_i}\right] + (1 - x_i)\left[\frac{d_1}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{-2}{p_i}\right] x_i + \left[\frac{2}{p_i}\right] y_i \\ y_i \sum_{l \neq i} \left[\frac{p_l}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{j \neq i} y_j \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] &= y_i \left[\frac{n/d_2}{p_i}\right] + (1 - y_i)\left[\frac{d_2}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{2}{p_i}\right] x_i + \left[\frac{2}{p_i}\right] y_i \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map (2.2) is a bijection.

Moreover, Monsky proved that M has even rank if $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 8$.

2.2 Cassels Pairing

Cassels [6] introduced a skew-symmetric bilinear pairing on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$:

$$\langle , \rangle : \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2] \times \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2] \to \{\pm 1\} \tag{2.3}$$

It is defined as follows: for any $\Lambda = (d_1, d_2, d_3), \Lambda' = (d_1', d_2', d_3') \in \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$, let D_Λ be the genus one curve corresponding to Λ and $Q_i \in H_i(\mathbb{Q})$ be a global point on H_i, the existence of Q_i follows from Hasse-Minkovski principle, since H_i is locally solvable everywhere as D_Λ is so. Let L_i be the tangent line of H_i at Q_i and view it as a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let $P = (P_p) \in D_\Lambda(\mathbb{A})$ be any adelic point on D_Λ, then

$$\langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle = \prod_p \langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle_p, \quad \langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle_p = \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Big(L_i(P_p), d_i' \Big)_p$$

where p runs over all places of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\big(\cdot, \cdot\big)_p$ is the Hilbert symbol at $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Note that skew-symmetry over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is also symmetry, so the left kernel and right kernel of the Cassels pairing $\langle , \rangle$ are the same. An important property of Cassels pairing says that the kernel of the Cassels pairing is

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)})$ is associated to the long exact sequence derived from
$0 \to E[2] \to E[4] \stackrel{\times 2}{\to} E[2] \to 0$.

In fact almost all local Cassels pairing are 1.

Lemma 2 (Cassels [6] Lemma 7.2)**.** *The local Cassels pairing $\langle , \rangle_p = +1$ if p satisfying*

(1) $p \neq 2, \infty$;

(2) The coefficients of H_i and L_i are all integral at p for $i = 1, 2, 3$;

(3) Modulo D_Λ and L_i by p, they define a curve of genus 1 over $\mathbb{F}_p$ together with tangents to it.

3 Gauss Genus Theory

In this section, we will introduce Gauss genus theory: classical theory, 4-rank and Redei matrix, 8-rank and higher Redei matrix.

Let K be an imaginary quadratic number field with fundamental discriminant D and ideal class group $\mathcal{A}$(written additively). The classical Gauss genus theory characterize $\mathcal{A}[2]$ and $2\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}[2]$ consists of all those ideal classes killed by 2 and $2\mathcal{A}$ denotes all those ideal classes which are squares.

To state Gauss genus theorem, we give some notations: let $p_1, \cdots, p_t$ be the different prime divisors of D, $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of algebraic integers of K. $N = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the norm from K to $\mathbb{Q}$ and α_0 is the integer $\frac{D+\sqrt{D}}{2}$, whence we have $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0$. In this section we use (a, b) to denote the greatest common divisor of a, b if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ else the ideal generated by a, b if $a, b \in K$. We also use $(c_1, \cdots, c_k)$ to denote a vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^k$. We hope this will not cause confusion since we can tell apart them easily from the context.

Proposition 1.

(1) $\mathcal{A}[2]$ is an elementary abelian 2-group generated by the ideal classes $[(p_i, \alpha_0)]$ and $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathcal{A}[2] = t - 1$;

(2) For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, then $[\mathfrak{a}] \in 2\mathcal{A}$ if and only if there is a non-zero integer z and $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that: $z^2 \cdot N\mathfrak{a} = Na$.

For the proof of Proposition 1 we refer to Chapter 7 of Hecke [9], there is also an elementary proof see Nemenzo-Wada [10].

3.1 4-rank and Redei matrix

To deal with 4-rank and 8-rank, we will prove some well-known results, these can be seen in some papers(for example Jung-Yue [11]) but with no proof. As we mentioned in the introduction, we will relate $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$ with norm elements of $K^\times$, solving quadratic homogeneous Diophantine equation and also Redei matrix. Note that we have $h_4(\mathcal{A}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$, so the 4-rank is reduced to study $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$. Now we first set up the $2-1$ correspondence on $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2. *The following map is an epimorphism*

$$\theta : \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times) \to \mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}, \quad d \mapsto [(d, \alpha_0)]$$

with $\text{Ker}(\theta) = \{1, -D'\}$*, where* D' *denotes the square-free part of* D *and* $\mathcal{D}(K)$ *denotes all those positive square-free divisors of* D *with group multiplication*

$$d_1 \odot d_2 := \frac{d_1 d_2}{(d_1, d_2)^2}$$

Proof. First θ is well-defined: For any $d \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$, then $\theta(d) = [(d, \alpha_0)] \in \mathcal{A}[2]$ since $d|D$ as $d \in \mathcal{D}(K)$. From $d \in N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$, we know there is some $\alpha \in K^\times$ such that $d = N\alpha$, then by multiply a suitable positive integer z, we can write $z\alpha = xd + y\alpha_0 \in (d, \alpha_0)$. Hence from Proposition 1 we get $\theta(d) = [(d, \alpha_0)] \in 2\mathcal{A}$ since (d, α_0) has norm d.

Second θ is a homomorphism: For any $d_i \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$, if $(d_1, d_2) = 1$ then we have the following ideal equalities(note multiplication of ideals is written additively)

$$(d_1, \alpha_0) + (d_2, \alpha_0) = \big(d_1 d_2, \alpha_0^2, d_1 \cdot \alpha_0, d_2 \cdot \alpha_0\big) = (d_1 d_2, \alpha_0) \tag{3.1}$$

Then for general d_1, d_2 we have $d_i = \frac{d_i}{(d_1, d_2)} \cdot (d_1, d_2)$, whence from equation (3.1) we have

$$(d_i, \alpha_0) = \Big(\frac{d_i}{(d_1, d_2)}, \alpha_0\Big) + \Big((d_1, d_2), \alpha_0\Big)$$

Consequently

$$(d_1, \alpha_0) + (d_2, \alpha_0) = 2\Big((d_1, d_2), \alpha_0\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \Big(\frac{d_i}{(d_1, d_2)}, \alpha_0\Big) = 2\Big((d_1, d_2), \alpha_0\Big) + (d_1 \odot d_2, \alpha_0)$$

Thus we have

$$\theta(d_1) + \theta(d_2) = \theta(d_1 \odot d_2)$$

Hence θ is a group homomorphism.

Now we show that θ is surjective: It is clear that from genus theory (see Proposition 1), every element of $\mathcal{A}[2]$ is of the form $[(d, \alpha_0)]$ with d a positive square-free divisor D. So for any $[\mathfrak{a}] \in \mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$, there is a $d \in \mathcal{D}(K)$, such that $[\mathfrak{a}] = [(d, \alpha_0)]$. Since $[(d, \alpha_0)] \in 2\mathcal{A}$, then by Proposition 1 there is a non-zero integer z and an $\alpha \in (d, \alpha_0)$ such that

$$z^2 d = z^2 \cdot N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\big\{(d, \alpha_0)\big\} = N\alpha$$

Therefore $d \in N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$.

We begin to determine the kernel of θ: For any $d \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$, then $d|D$ is a positive square-free integer such that $d = N(\beta)$ and $(d, \alpha_0) = (\alpha)$ is a principal ideal. Thus there are integers $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

$$\alpha = xd + y\alpha_0$$

Let $x = m + n\alpha_0, y = m' + n'\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $m, n, m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\alpha = ud + v\sqrt{D}$$

where

$$u = m + \frac{D}{2d} \cdot (nd + m') + n' \cdot \frac{D(D+1)}{4d}, \quad v = \frac{nd + n'D + m'}{2}$$

Hence $N\alpha = d\Big(du^2 + \frac{-D}{d}v^2\Big) = N\big\{(d, \alpha_0)\big\} = d$, then

$$du^2 + \frac{-D}{d}v^2 = 1 \tag{3.2}$$

(i): If $D \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then d, $\frac{D}{d}$ are odd and $2u, 2v \in \mathbb{Z}$, then from equation (3.2) we get

$$d(2u)^2 + \frac{-D}{d}(2v)^2 = 4$$

Note that the Diophantine equation $ar^2 + bs^2 = 4$ with a, b positive odd integer and $ab \equiv 3 (\text{mod} 4)$ has integer solution only if $a = 1$ or $b = 1$, hence $d = 1$ or $\frac{-D}{d} = 1$, thus we have two solutions $d = 1$ or $-D$.

(ii): If $4|D$, we assume that $D \neq -4$, else it is trivial. Now we divided into two cases according to d's parity:

If d is odd, then $u, 2v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $4d|D$, thus from equation (3.2) we get

$$du^2 + \frac{-D}{4d}(2v)^2 = 1$$

Then from the solvability of the Diophantine equation $ar^2 + bs^2 = 1$ with a, b positive odd, we get $d = 1$ or $\frac{-D}{4d} = 1$, the latter solution requires that $4||D$ since d is odd.

If d is even, then $2u, 2v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $2|d, 4 \nmid d, 2\Big|\frac{-D}{d}$, thus from equation (3.2) we get:

$$d(2u)^2 + \frac{-D}{d}(2v)^2 = 4$$

Note the Diophantine equation $at^2 + bs^2 = 4$ with a, b positive even integer and $4 \nmid a$ can have integral solution only if $a = b = 2$ or $b = 4$: the first case requires that $D = -4$ which has been excluded; the latter case implies that $d = \frac{-D}{4}$ and requires $8|D$ since d is even.

In summary, we always have $\text{Ker}(\theta) = \big\{1, -D'\big\}$. This completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to introduce the Redei matrix R (called reduced Redei matrix in Jung-Yue [11]) of K: first we assume that if $2|D$, then $p_t = 2$. Then the Redei matrix $R = (r_{ij})$ is a $(t-1) \times t$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_2$ defined by:

$$r_{ii} = \left[\frac{D/p_i^*}{p_i}\right], \quad r_{ij} = \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \quad \textit{where} \quad p_i^* = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p_i$$

Note R is very similar to the matrix A occurring in the definition of Monsky matrix. Now we can relates R to $h_4(n)$ via the following isomorphism which is parallel to the Monsky matrix.

Proposition 3. *The following is an isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{D}(K) \cap N(K^\times) \to \Big\{X \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \Big| RX = 0\Big\}, \quad d \mapsto X_d := \Big(v_{p_1}(d), \cdots, v_{p_t}(d)\Big)^T$$

with inverse map given by $(x_1, \cdots, x_t)^T \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}$.

This Proposition is a special case of Proposition 4 with $c = 1$. Hence from Proposition 2 and 3 we know that $h_4(\mathcal{A}) = t - 1 - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2} R$.

To deal with 4-rank of K, these propositions are sufficient. But for 8-rank, we have to generalize Proposition 3 to general c, see the following:

Proposition 4. *Let c be a positive odd integer coprime with D such that for any prime divisor p of c, we have $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 1$. Then the following is an isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{d \in \mathcal{D}(K) \middle| dc \in N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)\right\} &\to \left\{X \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \middle| RX = C\right\} \quad \text{where } C = \left(\left[\frac{c}{p_1}\right], \cdots, \left[\frac{c}{p_{t-1}}\right]\right)^T \\ d &\mapsto X_d := \left(v_{p_1}(d), \cdots, v_{p_t}(d)\right)^T\end{aligned}$$

and the inverse map is given by $(x_1, \cdots, x_t)^T \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}$.

Proof. For $d \in \mathcal{D}(K)$, note that $dc \in N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$ if and only if the following equation:

$$dcz^2 = x^2 - Dy^2 \tag{3.3}$$

is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence modulo equation (3.3) by odd prime divisors of D, we see the solvability of equation (3.3) implies that:

(1) : $\left(\frac{-cD/d}{p}\right) = 1$ for $p \middle| d$ odd prime divisor;

(2) : $\left(\frac{cd}{p}\right) = 1$ for $p \middle| \frac{-D}{d}$ odd prime divisor.

But the inverse direction is also true, since we have

Lemma 3. *The local conditions (1),(2) also implies the solvability of the Diophantine equation (3.3) under the hypothesis of Proposition 4.*

Proof. of the Lemma:

By Hasse-Minkovski principle, it suffices to show that (3.3) is locally solvable everywhere. For $p \nmid 2cD$, this is obvious. For $p|c$, this local solvability follows from the hypothesis of Proposition 4. For odd $p|D$, this is just the local conditions (1),(2). So we just need to show that (3.3) is solvable at $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Whence it suffices to show that the Hilbert symbol $(cd, D)_2 = 1$.

Let d_0 and $\tilde{d}$ be the odd part of $d, \frac{-D}{d}$ respectively and $2^r = d/d_0$. If we use s to denotes the 2-adic valuation of D, then

$$(c, D)_2 = (c, d_0\tilde{d})_2 \cdot \left(\frac{-2^s}{c}\right) = \left(\frac{-2^s}{c}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{d_0\tilde{d}}{c}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{c}{d_0\tilde{d}}\right) = \left(\frac{D}{c}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{c}{d_0\tilde{d}}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d_0\tilde{d}}\right)$$

where we have used $\left(\frac{D}{c}\right) = 1$ which follows from the hypothesis of Proposition 4.

Whence we are reduced to show $(d, D)_2 = \left(\frac{c}{d_0\tilde{d}}\right)$. Note the conditions (1) and (2) imply that: $\left(\frac{2^{s-r}c\tilde{d}}{d_0}\right) = 1$, $\left(\frac{2^r c d_0}{\tilde{d}}\right) = 1$. From either d_0 or $-d_0$ is congruent to 1 (mod 4), we

have $(d_0, -d_0)_2 = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(d, D)_2 &= \left(2^r d_0, -2^s d_0 \tilde{d}\right)_2 = \left(2^r, -2^s d_0 \tilde{d}\right)_2 \cdot \left(d_0, -2^s d_0 \tilde{d}\right)_2 \\ &= \left(2^r, d_0 \tilde{d}\right)_2 \cdot \left(d_0, 2^s\right)_2 \cdot (d_0, -d_0)_2 \cdot (d_0, \tilde{d})_2 \\ &= \left(\frac{2^r}{d_0 \tilde{d}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2^s}{d_0}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{d_0}{\tilde{d}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\tilde{d}}{d_0}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{r+s}\tilde{d}}{d_0}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2^r d_0}{\tilde{d}}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d_0 \tilde{d}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Thus the expected isomorphism is reduced to show that the local conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent to $RX_d = C$. Let $X = (x_1, \cdots, x_t)^T \in \mathbb{F}_2^t$ such that $RX = C$, then for any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ we have

$$\sum_{j \neq i} x_j \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + x_i \left[\frac{D/p_i^*}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{c}{p_i}\right] \tag{3.4}$$

If $x_i = 0$, then $p_i \nmid d := \prod_{l=1}^t p_l^{x_l}$, and equation (3.4) is equivalent to $\left(\frac{cd}{p_i}\right) = 1$. If $x_i = 1$, then $p_i \mid d$, the equation (3.4) is equivalent to $\left(\frac{-cD/d}{p_i}\right) = 1$.

For $i = t$: we may assume that $p_t \neq 2$, whence $D = -p_1 \cdots p_t \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod} 4)$. Then add all equations in (3.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left[\frac{D/p_i^*}{p_i}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \left[\frac{c}{p_i}\right] \tag{3.5}$$

Then for this equation we have its left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t} x_j \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t-1} \left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left[\frac{p_t}{p_i}\right] \\ = \; & x_t \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \left[\frac{p_t}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left[\frac{p_t}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t-1} \left\{\left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{p_i}{p_j}\right]\right\} \\ = \; & x_t \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \left[\frac{p_t}{p_i}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left\{\left[\frac{p_i}{p_t}\right] + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t} \left\{\left[\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{p_i}{p_j}\right]\right\}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

If we use $p_1 \cdots \hat{p}_i \cdots p_t$ to denote the product $p_1 \cdots p_t$ with p_i omitted, then the left hand side of equation (3.5) equals

$$x_t \left[\frac{p_t}{p_1 \cdots p_{t-1}}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left\{\left[\frac{p_i}{p_t}\right] + \left[\frac{p_i}{p_1 \cdots \hat{p}_i \cdots p_t}\right] + \left[\frac{p_1 \cdots \hat{p}_i \cdots p_t}{p_i}\right]\right\} = x_t \left[\frac{D/p_t^*}{p_t}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_i \left[\frac{p_i}{p_t}\right]$$

where for the last equation we used the quadratic reciprocity law and $p_1 \cdots p_t \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod} 4)$, as then one of p_i and $p_1 \cdots \hat{p}_i \cdots p_t$ must be congruent to 1 (mod 4). Since $\left(\frac{D}{c}\right) = 1$, then

$$1 = \left(\frac{-p_1 \cdots p_t}{c}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_t}{c}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{p_1 \cdots p_t}\right)$$

Hence the right hand side of the equation (3.5) equals $\left[\frac{c}{p_t}\right]$, thus as what we do for equation (3.4) we get the local solvability is also true for p_t.

Hence, the local conditions on d is satisfied if and only if $RX_d = C$. This finishes the proof. □

3.2 8-rank

In this subsection, we will use classical Gauss genus theory and 4-rank to derive 8-rank $h_8(K) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_2}\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 4\mathcal{A}$ of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$: this is equivalent to determine those elements in $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$ also lie in $4\mathcal{A}$.

In this subsection, for the quadratic field, we always assume that $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$ is a positive square-free integer with all prime factors $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

For any $2^r d \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$ with $d|n$ and $r = 0$ or 1 such that $\theta(2^r d)$ is non-trivial, then from Proposition 2, 3 we know that the following Diophantine equation

$$2^r z^2 = dx^2 + \frac{n}{d}y^2 \tag{3.6}$$

is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $(a, b, c) = (x, y, z)$ be a positive primitive integer solution, then c is odd, as else c is even, then a, b must be odd, since (a, b, c) is a primitive solution, then modulo equation (3.6) by 4 we get: $0 \equiv d + \frac{n}{d} \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, since every divisor of n congruent to 1 (mod 4), hence we get a contradiction, which implies that c is odd.

Then $[\mathfrak{d}] = [\mathfrak{c}]^2$ where $\mathfrak{d} = (2^r d, \alpha_0)$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ is a certain integral ideal over c: From $(c, D) = 1$ we know that any prime $p|c$ is unramified in K, moreover p splits in K, as else (p) is a prime ideal in K, by prime ideal decomposition we know either $(p)\Big|(\alpha)$ or $(p)\Big|(\overline{\alpha})$ since we have $2^r dc^2 = N\alpha$ with $\alpha = da + b\sqrt{D'} \in \mathcal{O}_K$, but either $(p)\Big|(\alpha)$ or $(p)\Big|(\overline{\alpha})$ implies that $p|(a, b, c)$ which is impossible since (a, b, c) is a primitive solution. Whence we get any prime divisor of c splits in K. Then $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\Big|N(\alpha)$, similarly exactly one of $\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ divide (α), then $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{d}\prod_{p|c}\mathfrak{p}^{2v_p(c)}$. Therefore $[\mathfrak{d}] = [\mathfrak{c}]^2$ with $\mathfrak{c}$ an integral ideal over c.

Now we study when $[\mathfrak{d}] \in 4\mathcal{A}$: we note that $[\mathfrak{d}] = [\mathfrak{c}]^2 \in 4\mathcal{A}$ if and only if there is a $[\mathfrak{m}] \in \mathcal{A}[2]$ with norm $m \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ such that $[\mathfrak{c}] + [\mathfrak{m}] \in 2\mathcal{A}$, which is equivalent to

$$mcZ^2 = X^2 - DY^2$$

is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 1. Modulo the equation (3.6) by any prime divisor p of c, we get $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 1$. And c is odd, then by Proposition 4 we know that $[\mathfrak{d}] \in 4\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $C \in \text{Im}R$ where $C = \left(\left[\frac{c}{p_1}\right], \cdots, \left[\frac{c}{p_k}\right]\right)^T$.

Remark 1. *We remark that for a given d as above and another primitive solution (a', b', c') of the equation (3.6) there is another C', but we may have $C \neq C'$. For example $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-13 * 17})$, then $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, whence $h_4(n) = 1$ and 13 represents the only non-trivial element in $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap 2\mathcal{A}$, then for the equation $z^2 = 13x^2 + 17y^2$ we have two primitive solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 2, 9)$ and $(4, 1, 15)$, and correspondingly $C = (0, 0)^T, C' = (1, 0)^T$, they are not equal but both lies in $\text{Im}R$, hence $h_8(n) = 1$. In fact, by Sage software we have the ideal class group of K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Now if $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$ and C_1, C_2 are given as above, we know $d := d_1 \odot d_2 \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$. Then we have a natural question: does $[(d, \alpha_0)] \in 4\mathcal{A}$ have any relation with C_1, C_2? This is given by:

Proposition 5. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ be an imaginary quadratic number field with $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$ a square-free positive integer such that all $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $2^{r_1}d_1, 2^{r_2}d_2 \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(K^\times)$ with $d_i | n$ and $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $2^r d = 2^{r_1}d_1 \odot 2^{r_2}d_2$ with $d|n$. Assume that $(a_i, b_i, c_i) = (x, y, z)$ be positive primitive integer solution of*

$$2^{r_i} z^2 = d_i x^2 + \frac{n}{d_i} y^2 \tag{3.7}$$

and $C_i := \left(\left[\frac{c_i}{p_1}\right], \cdots, \left[\frac{c_i}{p_k}\right]\right)^T, i = 1, 2$. If we denote $\mathfrak{d} = (2^r d, \alpha_0)$ and R the Redei matrix of K, then $[\mathfrak{d}] \in 4\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $C_1 + C_2 \in \mathrm{Im}R$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_i = d_i a_i + b_i\sqrt{-n} \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $2^{r_i} d_i c_i^2 = \alpha_i \overline{\alpha}_i$, thus we have

$$2^{r_1+r_2} d_1 d_2 (c_1 c_2)^2 = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2) = a^2 + nb^2 \tag{3.8}$$

with $a = d_1 d_2 a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2 n$, $b = b_1 d_2 a_2 + b_2 d_1 a_1$. Then we want to derive a primitive solution of $2^r z^2 = dx^2 + \frac{n}{d} y^2$ with $z = \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_0^2}$ from equation (3.7), then this will finish our proof by Proposition 4. Before proceeding further, we introduce a notation: for a prime p and an integer m, the notation $p^r || m$ means that $p^r | m$ but $p^{r+1} \nmid m$. We claim that:

If a prime $p | (c_1 c_2, a, b)$ then $p^{2s_p} || (c_1 c_2, a, b)$, where $s_p := \min\{v_p(c_1), v_p(c_2)\}$.

Since $p | c_1 c_2$ then p is odd and we may assume that $p | c_1$, hence

$$p^2 \Big| 2^{r_1} d_1 c_1^2 = \alpha_1 \overline{\alpha}_1 \tag{3.9}$$

but $p \nmid \alpha_1$ by the solution (a_1, b_1, c_1) is primitive and c_1 is coprime with $2n$. Similar argument as the paragraph in equation (3.6) we get p splits in K with $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$, and exactly one of $\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ divide the principal ideal (α_1), we may assume that $\mathfrak{p} | (\alpha_1)$, so $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \nmid (\alpha_1)$. Then from ideal version of equation (3.9) we know that $\mathfrak{p}^{2v_p(c_1)} || (\alpha_1)$. But p also divides a, b, hence $p | \alpha_1 \alpha_2$, whence we have

$$\mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} | (\alpha_1)(\alpha_2)$$

since $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \nmid (\alpha_1)$, from this we have $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} | (\alpha_2)$, similarly we have $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{2v_p(c_2)} || (\alpha_2)$ but $\mathfrak{p} \nmid (\alpha_2)$. Hence by the prime ideal decomposition of $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)$, we know their $\mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ components are $\mathfrak{p}^{2v_p(c_1)}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{2v_p(c_2)}$ respectively. From this we get $p^{2s_p} || (c_1 c_2, a, b)$:

From this claim we know there is a positive integer c_0 such that $(c_1 c_2, a, b) = c_0^2$. By the definition of a, b we can easily get $(d_1, d_2) d | a, (d_1, d_2) | b$. Now we deal with 2-part of a, b.

If $r_1 = r_2 = 0$, then from equation (3.7) we know exact one of a_i, b_i is even for $i = 1, 2$. Since

$$a \equiv a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2 (\mathrm{mod} 2), \quad b \equiv a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 (\mathrm{mod} 2) \tag{3.10}$$

then exactly one of a, b is even.

If exact one of r_1, r_2 is 0, then exact one of a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 is even, then a, b are odd by equation (3.10).

If $r_1 = r_2 = 1$, then $r = 0$, and all of a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are odd, hence from equation (3.10) we get a, b are even. If $4 | (a, b)$, then modulo a, b by 4 respectively we have

$$a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 (\mathrm{mod} 4), \quad a_1 b_2 \equiv -a_2 b_1 (\mathrm{mod} 4)$$

Then we get

$$a_2 b_2 \equiv a_1^2 a_2 b_2 \equiv -b_1^2 a_2 b_2 \equiv -a_2 b_2 (\mathrm{mod} 4)$$

Then $2|a_2b_2$ which is impossible.

Thus in any case we have $2^{r_1r_2}||(a,b)$. So if we denote

$$a' = \frac{a}{c_0^2 2^{r_1r_2}\cdot d(d_1,d_2)}, \quad b' = \frac{b}{c_0^2 2^{r_1r_2}\cdot (d_1,d_2)}, \quad c' = \frac{c_1c_2}{c_0^2}$$

then from equation (3.8) we get $(x,y,z) = (a',b',c')$ is a primitive positive integer solution of

$$2^r z^2 = dx^2 + \frac{n}{d}y^2$$

Whence the proof is finished by Proposition 4. □

3.3 Higher Redei matrix

In this subsection, we will define a higher Redei matrix R^*, which is analogous to Redei matrix, for example we also have a 2 to 1 epimorphism from $\ker(R^*)$ to $\mathcal{A}[2]\cap 4\mathcal{A}$.

In this subsection ,we let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ be an imaginary quadratic number field, where $n = d_1\cdots d_k$ is a square-free positive integer with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 8) such that

(1) $h_4(d_i) = 1, 1 \le i \le k$;

(2) $\left(\frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}}\right) = 1$ for any prime divisor p_{i_j} of d_{i_j} with $i_1 \neq i_2$.

Since all prime divisors of n are congruent to 1 (mod 8), then the Redei matrix R is

$$R = \Big(A\ 0\Big), \quad A = \mathrm{diag}(A_{d_1},\cdots,A_{d_k})$$

as n satisfying (2), where A_{d_i} denotes the corresponding A-matrix in defining the corresponding Monsky matrix of d_i. From $h_4(d_i) = 1$ and all prime divisor of d_i are congruent to 1 (mod 8) we get $\mathrm{rank}A_{d_i} = \omega(d_i) - 1$ by Proposition 2 and 3, where $\omega(d_i)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of d_i.

If we define $d_{k+1} := 2$, then under θ, the image of $d_1,\cdots,d_{k-1},d_{k+1}$ form a base of $\mathcal{A}_n[2]\cap 2\mathcal{A}_n$ by Proposition 2 and 3. Let $\mathfrak{d}_i = (d_i,\alpha_0)$ for $1\le i\le k+1$. Then the problem of determining whether $[\mathfrak{d}_i]\in 4\mathcal{A}$ is reduced to determine whether $C_i \in \mathrm{Im}R$ according to the argument before Remark 1 of §3.2. Here C_i is defined to be $\left(\left[\frac{c_i}{p_1}\right],\cdots,\left[\frac{c_i}{p_{\omega(n)}}\right]\right)^T$, where $(a_i,b_i,c_i) = (x,y,z)$ is a fixed primitive positive integer solution of

$$z^2 = d_ix^2 + \frac{n}{d_i}y^2$$

for $1\le i\le k-1$, and (a_k,b_k,c_k) is the primitive solution derived similarly as in Proposition 5 from those (a_i,b_i,c_i) chosen above since $\theta(d_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\theta(d_i)$, while $(a_{k+1},b_{k+1},c_{k+1}) = (x,y,z)$ is a fixed primitive positive integer solution of

$$2z^2 = x^2 + ny^2$$

Since a vector $y\in\mathbb{F}_2^{\omega(n)}$ lies in $\mathrm{Im}R$ if and only if

$$(0,\cdots,0,\underbrace{1,\cdots,1}_{\omega(d_i)},0\cdots,0)y = 0$$

Then from this and Proposition 4 we know $[\mathfrak{d}_i] = [(d_i,\sqrt{-n})]\in 4\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\left[\frac{c_i}{d_j}\right] = 1$ for any $1\le j\le k$. Hence by Proposition 5 we get that any $d = \prod_1^{k+1} d_i^{x_i}$ contributes to

$h_8(n)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i \left[\frac{c_i}{d_j}\right] = 0$ for $1 \le j \le k$. This motivates us to define a higher Redei matrix R^*: it is a $k \times (k+1)$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_2$ given by

$$R^* = \Big(A^* \Big| \, B^*\Big)$$

with $A^* = (a^*_{ij})_{k\times k}$, $a^*_{ij} = \left[\frac{c_j}{d_i}\right]$ and $B^* = \Big(\left[\frac{c_{k+1}}{d_1}\right], \cdots, \left[\frac{c_{k+1}}{d_k}\right]\Big)^T$.

Similar to the Redei matrix, the sum of every row elements of A^* is zero by the choice of c_k and Proposition 5. And we also get a 2 to 1 epimorphism as in Redei matrix:

$$\Big\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1} \Big| R^*x = 0\Big\} \to \mathcal{A}[2] \cap 4\mathcal{A}, \quad x \mapsto \theta\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i^{x_i}\Big) \tag{3.11}$$

follows from above argument. Thus similar as 4-rank and Redei matrix we get

$$h_8(K) = k - \mathrm{rank} R^*$$

Remark 2. *It seems as if A^* depends on the choice of (a_i, b_i, c_i), but from Cassels pairing we will see that A^* doesn't depend on the choice, so A^* is intrinsic.*

4 Proof of Main Theorems

In this section, we will prove our main theorems according to the strategy explained in the introduction.

4.1 First Main Theorem

For a positive square-free integer $n = p_1 \cdots p_k \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 4), the corresponding Monsky matrix of $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$ and Redei matrix of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ are of the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A + D_2 & D_2 \\ D_2 & A + D_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \Big(A \Big| B\Big)$$

where $B = (b_1, \cdots, b_k)^T$ with $b_i = \left[\frac{2}{p_i}\right]$ and A is a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with all row sum 0, hence all A's column sum are also 0. And we have $h_4(n) = k - \mathrm{rank} R$.

According to the strategy in the introduction, we begin with the first step: relate $s_2(n)$ with $h_4(n)$ via Monsky matrix and Redei matrix.

Lemma 4. *For a positive square-free integer $n = p_1 \cdots p_k \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ with all $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $s_2(n) = 2$ if and only if $h_4(n) = 1$. And if this is satisfied, then the corresponding pure-2 Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is generated by*

$$(n, n, 1), \quad (d, d^*, dd^*)$$

where $d^* = d$ if $\mathrm{rank} A = k-2$ and else $d^* = n/d$ with $d = \prod p_i^{x_i}$, here $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_k)^T \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ is a non-trivial solution of $Ax = 0$ with $x \neq x_0$ if $\mathrm{rank} A = k-2$ and else x is a solution of $Ax = B$, here $x_0 = (1, \cdots, 1)^T$.

Proof. By properties of Monsky matrix and Redei matrix, this is equivalent to show that the rank of M is $2k-2$ if and only if that of R is $k-1$.

If we denote R_i to be the $(k-1)\times(k+1)$ matrix obtained from R by deleting the i-th row vector, then by elementary linear transforms, the following matrices have same rank over $\mathbb{F}_2$:

$$M, \quad M' := \begin{pmatrix} A & D_2 \\ & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad M'' := \begin{pmatrix} R_k & * \\ & R_1^T \end{pmatrix}$$

where M'' derives from M' by: adding the first $k-1$ row to the k-th row, then adding the last $k-1$ column to the $(k+1)$-th column, and then moving the k-th row as the last row.

If $\mathrm{rank}R = k-1$: from A is symmetric and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i = \left[\frac{2}{n}\right]$ with $n \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}8)$ we get the sum of all row vectors of A and B are 0, hence the only non-trivial linear dependence of R is the sum of all row vectors is 0, whence we get rank of R_i is also $k-1$. From this we know that $2k-2 \leq \mathrm{rank}M'' \leq 2k-1$, but the rank of M and M'' are equal and rank M must be even since $n \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ by the last line of §2.1, hence $\mathrm{rank}M = 2k-2$.

If $\mathrm{rank}M = 2k-2$, then so is $\mathrm{rank}M''$, since R_k has only $k-1$ rows, hence from the rank of M'' we infers that $\mathrm{rank}R_1^T \geq k-1$, but we know that $\mathrm{rank}R_1 \leq \mathrm{rank}R \leq k-1$ since the sum of all row vectors of R are 0. This force R has rank $k-1$. So $s_2(n) = 2$ if and only if $h_4(n) = 1$.

Now assume that $h_4(n) = 1$: we suffice to find the corresponding 4 representatives of $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$. By Monsky matrix, we reduce to find $y, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ such that

$$M \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A+D2 & D_2 \\ D_2 & A+D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

From this we have: $Ay + D_2(y+z) = 0, A(y+z) = 0$, thus $y+z \in \ker A$. Now we divide into two cases according to A's rank:

If $\mathrm{rank}A = k-2$, and if $y+z = 0$ then we have $Ay = 0$, thus in this case $y = z$ with $Ay = 0$ are the 4 solutions. Hence the following 2 elements generates the pure 2-Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$:

$$(d, d, 1), \quad (n, n, 1)$$

If $\mathrm{rank}A = k-1$, thus $y+z = 0$ or $x_0 := (1, \cdots, 1)^T$. If $y+z = 0$, then we have two solutions $y = z = 0$ or $y = z = x_0$; if $y+z = x_0$, then $Ay = D_2 \cdot x_0 = B$, and B is indeed in $\mathrm{Im}A$, as $\mathrm{rank}(A|B) = \mathrm{rank}A = k-1$ thus $\{y, z\} = \{x, x_0 - x\}$ with $Ax = B$. Hence the following 2 elements generates $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$:

$$(d, d^*, dd^*), \quad (n, n, 1)$$

Hence the Lemma is proved. □

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1:

Proof. From Lemma above, we get $s_2(n) = 2$ if and only if $h_4(n)$=1. Now be begin the second step. From the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to E^{(n)}[2] \to E^{(n)}[4] \xrightarrow{2} E^{(n)}[2] \to 0$$

we have the corresponding long exact sequence:

$$0 \to E^{(n)}[2](\mathbb{Q})/2E^{(n)}[4](\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}) \to \mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)}) \to \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})$$

And if we denote $\mathrm{ImSel}_4(E^{(n)})$ to be the image of $\mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)})$ in the last map of above long exact sequence, then we have $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, $Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\right)^2$ if and only if $\#\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)}) = \#\mathrm{Sel}_4(E^{(n)})$, which is equivalent to $\#\mathrm{ImSel}_4(E^{(n)}) = \#E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ by

above long exact sequence. Then by Cassels pairing, this holds if and only if the Cassels pairing on the pure 2-Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is non-degenerate. Hence if we denote $\Lambda = (d, d^*, dd^*), \Lambda' = (-1, 1, -1)$, then we reduce to show when

$$\langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle = -1$$

note here $\Lambda' \in (n, n, 1) + E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$.

Now we are in the third step to compute $\langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle$ according to rank$A$:
(I): The rank of A is $k-2$: we claim that in this case

$$d \equiv 5 \pmod 8$$

From $h_4(n) = 1$ we get rank$R$ = rank$(A|B) = k-1$, but rank$A = k-2$, this shows that $B \notin \mathrm{Im}A$. Since A is symmetric and $\ker A$ is generated by x_0, x which are defined in above Lemma, a vector $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ lies in ImA if and only if $x_0^T y = 0, x^T y = 0$. But $x_0^T \cdot B = \left[\frac{2}{n}\right] = 0$, so we must have $x^T \cdot B = \left[\frac{2}{d}\right] \neq 0$, thus $d \equiv 5 (\mathrm{mod} 8)$.
For $\Lambda = (d, d, 1)$ the corresponding genus one curve D_Λ is:

$$D_\Lambda = \begin{cases} H_1: & -nt^2 + du_2^2 - u_3^2 = 0 \\ H_2: & -nt^2 + u_3^2 - du_1^2 = 0 \\ H_3: & 2d't^2 + u_1^2 - u_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Here $d' := n/d$. According to Cassels pairing, we have to chose global points Q_i on H_i: for H_3 we chose the global point $Q_3 = (0, 1, 1)$, then the tangent plane at Q_3 is

$$L_3 : u_1 - u_2$$

For H_1 we chose the global point $Q_1 = (b, c, da)$ on H_1, where (a, b, c) is a primitive positive integer solution of

$$c^2 = da^2 + d'b^2 \tag{4.1}$$

The existence of this solution origins from $h_4(n) = 1$ and d corresponds to $R\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ by Proposition 2, 3. We may assume that $2|a$, since else a is odd, b is even and we have a new solution

$$(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) := \Big(d'a - 2d'b - da, db - 2da - d'b, (d + d')c\Big)$$

with $v_2(\tilde{a}) \geq 2$ and $v_2(\tilde{b}) = v_2(\tilde{c}) = 1$, hence by dividing the greatest common divisor, we get a new primitive solution with corresponding a even. Then the corresponding tangent plane L_1 at this point is

$$L_1 : d'bt - cu_2 + au_3$$

Hence by Cassels pairing we have to compute

$$\langle \Lambda, \Lambda' \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_1 L_3(P_p), -1\Big)_p$$

for any local point P_v on $D_\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, here p includes the real place.

By Lemma 2 we only have to compute those $p|2n\infty$. Note all $p|n$ are congruent to 1 (mod 4), so -1 is a square in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, thus by Hilbert symbol we have these $\Big(L_i(P_p), -1\Big)_p = 1$. Whence we only have to consider local solutions at 2∞, we can chose as follows:

For $p=\infty$: we chose $t=0, u_1=-u_2=1, u_3=\sqrt{d}$, then

$$\Big(L_1L_3(P_\infty),-1\Big)_\infty=\Big(2(c+a\sqrt{d}),-1\Big)_\infty=1$$

For $p=2$, we chose $t=2, u_1=1, u_2^2=1+8d', u_3^2=d+4n$ and we may assume $u_2\equiv 3(\mathrm{mod}8)$ as $u_2^2=1+8d'\equiv 9(\mathrm{mod}16)$, so

$$\Big(L_1L_3(P_2),-1\Big)_2=\Big((1-u_2)(2bd'-cu_2+au_3),-1\Big)_2=(-2,-1)_2(c,-1)_2=-(c,-1)_2$$

where we used the fact that $\frac{au_3}{2}\equiv bd'(\mathrm{mod}2)$. Thus in this case we have

$$\langle\Lambda,\Lambda'\rangle=-\left(\frac{-1}{c}\right)$$

By modulo c of the equation (4.1) we get $\left(\frac{-n}{c}\right)=1$: whence $\langle\Lambda,\Lambda'\rangle=-1$ if and only if $\left(\frac{c}{n}\right)=1$.

Now we begin our last step is this case: use Gauss genus theory to reduce to 8-rank of the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$. Since R has rank $k-1$ and $x_0^TR=0$, we know a vector $y\in\mathbb{F}_2^k$ lies in ImR if and only if $x_0^Ty=0$. From this we get $\left(\frac{c}{n}\right)=1$ is equivalent to $Rz=C$ has a solution $z\in\mathbb{F}_2^k$, this is equivalent to $h_8(n)=1$ by the argument before Remark 1 of §3.2. Hence under the condition rank$A=k-2$ we know that the Cassels pairing is non-degenerate if and only if $h_8(n)=1\equiv\frac{d-1}{4}(\mathrm{mod}2)$ since $d\equiv 5(\mathrm{mod}8)$.

(II): if rank$A=k-1$, then d corresponds to the solution of $Ax=B$. Since we have

$$R\begin{pmatrix}x\\1\end{pmatrix}=Ax+B=0$$

we know that $2d$ is a norm. Then $(d,d',n)\in(2d,2d,1)+E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$, so we still use Λ to denote $(2d,2d,1)$ since this won't effect $\langle\Lambda,\Lambda'\rangle$, then the corresponding genus 1 curve D_Λ is given by:

$$\begin{cases}H_1: & -nt^2+2du_2^2-u_3^2=0\\ H_2: & -nt^2+u_3^2-2du_1^2=0\\ H_3: & d't^2+u_1^2-u_2^2=0\end{cases}$$

For H_3 we chose the global point $Q_3=(0,1,1)$, then the tangent plane L_3 of H_3 at Q_3 is

$$L_3: u_1-u_2$$

Since $2d$ is a norm, there is a positive primitive solution of the Diophantine equation

$$2c^2=da^2+d'b^2$$

So the global point $Q_1:(b,c,ad)$ is on H_1, and the tangent plane L_1 of H_1 at Q_1 is

$$L_1: d'bt-2cu_2+au_3$$

With the same reason as rank$A=k-2$, we only need to consider at 2∞. For $p=\infty$ we chose local solution:

$$P_\infty: t=0, u_1=-u_2=1, u_3=\sqrt{2d}$$

Then

$$(L_1L_3(P_\infty),-1)_\infty=\Big(2(2c+a\sqrt{2d}),-1\Big)_\infty=1$$

For $p=2$, we chose local solution

$$P_2: t=1, u_1=2\left[\frac{2}{d}\right], u_2^2=d'+u_1^2, u_3^2=n+2du_1^2$$

such that $cu_2\equiv 1 \pmod 4, 8|(bd'+au_3)$, since we have

$$\begin{aligned}(bd')^2-(au_3)^2 &= b^2d'^2-a^2n-2a^2du_1^2=(2c^2-da^2)d'-a^2n-2a^2du_1^2\\ &= 2(c^2d'-a^2n-a^2du_1^2)\equiv 2(d'-n-du_1^2)\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}16)\end{aligned}$$

so we may chose u_3 such that: $8|(bd'+au_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(L_1L_3(P),-1\Big)_2 &= \Big((u_1-u_2)(d'b-2cu_2+au_3),-1\Big)_2=(-2,-1)_2(u_1-c,-1)_2\\ &= (c-u_1,-1)_2=(-1)^{\frac{d-1}{4}}(c,-1)_2\end{aligned}$$

Similar argument as above, we know that $(c,-1)_2=1$ if and only if $\left(\frac{c}{n}\right)=1$, this is equivalent to $h_8(n)=1$, so Cassels pairing is non-degenerate in this case if and only if $h_8(n)\equiv\frac{d-1}{4} \pmod 2$.

Summarize these cases together we get: $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0, Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty]\simeq\big(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\big)^2$ is equivalent to $h_8(n)\equiv\frac{d-1}{4} \pmod 2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

To show the condition of Li-Tian is also sufficient as claimed in the introduction, we first introduce the quartic residue symbol used in Jung-Yue [11]: for p a prime congruent to 1 (mod 4), then there are two primitive Gaussian primes π,π' over p with $p=\pi\pi'$, hence we have two quartic residue symbol $\left(\frac{-}{\pi}\right),\left(\frac{-}{\pi'}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that their squares are the Legendre residue symbol $\left(\frac{-}{p}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. If $q\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=1$, then $\left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{\pi'}\right)=\pm 1$, and we denote by $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_4$ be either $\left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{q}{\pi'}\right)$. Similarly if d is a positive integer with all prime divisor $p\equiv 1 \pmod 4$ such that $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=1$, then

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_4:=\prod_{p|d}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_4^{v_p(d)}$$

Theorem 3. *For $p\equiv 1 \pmod 8$ a prime, then there exists positive integers such that: $p=u^2+8v^2=a^2+16b^2=x^2-32y^2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $2\nmid v$;

(2) $2\nmid\frac{p-1}{8}+b$;

(3) $1+i_p$ *is not a p-adic square with* $i_p\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ *such that* $i_p^2=-1$;

(4) $1+j_p$ *is not a p-adic square with* $j_p\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ *such that* $j_p^2=2$;

(5) $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_4=(-1)^{\frac{p-9}{8}}$;

(6) $x\equiv 3(\mathrm{mod}4)$;

(7) $h_8(p)=0$.

And we define $\delta_p = 1$ if p satisfies the above equivalent conditions, else $\delta_p = 0$. For n a square-free positive integer with all prime factors congruent to $1 \pmod 8$ *and $h_4(n) = 1$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) $2 \nmid \delta_n := \sum_{p|n} \delta_p$

(ii) $h_8(n) = 0$

(iii) $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0,\ Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \big(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\big)^2$.

Proof. The existence of u, v, a, b follows from p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Now we show the existence of x, y: since p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, there are $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p = x_0^2 - 2y_0^2$$

moreover x_0 is odd and y_0 is even as $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. If $4|y_0$ then we define $x = x_0, y = \frac{y_0}{4}$. Else $4 \nmid y_0$, we can use the fundamental units $1 + \sqrt{2}$ to lift 2-divisibility. Concretely since $(1+\sqrt{2})^2 = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ has norm 1, then

$$p = (3x_0 + 4y_0)^2 - 2\Big(2x_0 + 3y_0\Big)^2$$

and $4|(2x_0 + 3y_0)$, then we define $x = 3x_0 + 4y_0, y = \frac{2x_0+3y_0}{4}$. Then we get $p = x^2 - 32y^2$.

The equivalence from (1) to (5) are proved in Li-Tian [3].

(5) is equivalent to (6): From

$$p = x^2 - 32y^2 \tag{4.2}$$

we get

$$\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{x^2}{p}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{-2y^2}{p}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_4 \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_4$$

where we used $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = 1$ by the equation (4.2) modulo y. But if we modulo the equation (4.2) by x we get

$$\left(\frac{p}{x}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{x}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right)(-1)^{\frac{x^2-1}{8}} = \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right)(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{8}}$$

since $p \equiv x^2 (\mathrm{mod} 32)$ by equation (4.2) modulo 32. Then by quadratic reciprocity law we know $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{x}\right)$, from this we know (5) is equivalent to (6).

(6) and (7) are equivalent because by considering $1 + \sqrt{2}$ similar as above

$$-p = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}}\Big((x+8y) + (x+4y)\sqrt{2}\Big) = (x+8y)^2 - 2(x+4y)^2 \tag{4.3}$$

then for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$, its Redei matrix is the matrix $0_{1\times 2}$, so we get 2 is a norm element, and in fact the equation (4.3) is the corresponding Diophantine equation, see Proposition 2. Hence by Proposition 4 with $c = x + 4y$ there we get: $h_8(p) = 0$ if and only if $\left(\frac{x+4y}{p}\right) = -1$. But modulo the equation (4.3) by $x + 4y$ we get $\left(\frac{-p}{x+4y}\right) = 1$, whence

$$\left(\frac{x+4y}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{x+4y}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x+4y}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right)$$

From these we get (6) is equivalent to (7).

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from Theorem 1. For the equivalence of (i) and (ii): let x_i, y_i be positive integers such that $p_i = x_i^2 - 32y_i^2$ as above, then

$$n = p_1 \cdots p_k = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(x + 4y\sqrt{2}), \quad x + 4y\sqrt{2} = \prod_1^k (x_i + 4y_i\sqrt{2})$$

and $x \equiv x_1 \cdots x_k (\mathrm{mod} 4)$. Similarly by considering the fundamental unit $1 + \sqrt{2}$, we have:

$$2(x + 4y)^2 = (x + 8y)^2 + n$$

Then using Gauss genus theory as above we get: $h_8(n) = 0$ if and only if $\left(\frac{x+4y}{n}\right) = -1$, we also have $\left(\frac{-n}{x+4y}\right) = 1$, so

$$\left(\frac{x + 4y}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{n}{x + 4y}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x + 4y}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x_1 \cdots x_k}\right) = (-1)^{\delta_n}$$

From this we get the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

Hence we complete the proof. □

4.2 Second Main Theorem

In this subsection ,we let $n = d_1 \cdots d_k$ be a square-free positive integer with all prime factors congruent to 1 (mod 8), such that

(1) $h_4(d_i) = 1, 1 \le i \le k$;

(2) $\left(\frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}}\right) = 1$ for any prime divisor p_{i_j} of d_{i_j} with $i_1 \neq i_2$.

Since all prime divisors of n are congruent to 1(mod8), hence the corresponding D_2, D_{-2} matrix of n are 0, thus

$$M_n \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\Big(A, A\Big), \quad R_n = \Big(A\ 0\Big)$$

with $A = \mathrm{diag}\Big(A_{d_1}, \cdots, A_{d_k}\Big)$ as n satisfying (2), where A_{d_i} denotes the corresponding A-matrix in defining the corresponding Monsky matrix of d_i. From $h_4(d_i) = 1$ and all prime divisor of d_i are congruent to 1 (mod 8) we get $\mathrm{rank}A_{d_i} = \omega(d_i) - 1$ by Proposition 2 and 3.

Now we get $s_2(n) = 2k$: since

$$s_2(n) = 2\sum_{i=1}^k \omega(d_i) - \mathrm{rank}M = 2\sum_{i=1}^k \Big(\omega(d_i) - \mathrm{rank}A_{d_i}\Big) = 2k$$

And the following is a base of $\ker M_n$:

$$\Big(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(d_1 \cdots d_{i-1})}, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{\omega(d_i)}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(d_{i+1} \cdots d_k)}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(n)}\Big)^T, \Big(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(n)}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(d_1 \cdots d_{i-1})}, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{\omega(d_i)}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\omega(d_{i+1} \cdots d_k)}\Big)^T$$

with $1 \le i \le k$, consequently $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ has a base consists of

$$\Lambda_i = (1, d_i, d_i), \;\; \Lambda_i' = (d_i, d_i, 1), 1 \le i \le k$$

Now we can compute the Cassels pairings of this base, see:

Proposition 6. *Let n, Λ_i, Λ_i' as above, we have the following Cassels pairings:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j \rangle &= \left(\frac{c_i\gamma_i}{d_j}\right),\ i \neq j \\
\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j' \rangle &= \left(\frac{c_i}{d_j}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{c}_j}{d_i}\right),\ i \neq j \\
\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i' \rangle &= (-1)^{\delta_{d_i}}\left(\frac{c_i}{d_i}\right) = (-1)^{\delta_{d_i}}\left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{d_i}\right) \\
\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_j' \rangle &= \left(\frac{c_i\bar{c}_i}{d_j}\right),\ i \neq j
\end{aligned}$$

Where c_i consists a fixed primitive positive integer solution of

$$c_i^2 = d_i a_i^2 + d_i' b_i^2 \tag{4.4}$$

with $d_i' = n/d_i$, and $\gamma_i, \bar{c}_i$ consist primitive positive integer solutions of

$$\gamma_i^2 = d_i\alpha_i^2 + 2d_i'\beta_i^2, \quad \bar{c}_i^2 = d_i\bar{a}_i^2 - d_i'\bar{b}_i^2 \tag{4.5}$$

respectively such that:

$$d_i' \Big| \Big(a_i\gamma_i + c_i\alpha_i,\ \ a_i\bar{c}_i + c_i\bar{a}_i\Big) \tag{4.6}$$

Remark 3. *We remark that we can always find solutions of the equations (4.5) satisfying the conditions (4.6), we will omit all the subscripts in this remark. If we define $t = (d', a\gamma + c\alpha)$, then we have a new solution*

$$(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \Big(-d\alpha t^2 + 4d'\beta t + 2d'\alpha,\ d\beta t^2 + 2d\alpha t - 2d'\beta,\ \gamma(dt^2 + 2d')\Big) \tag{4.7}$$

of $z^2 = dx^2 + 2d'y^2$. Then

$$a\tilde{\gamma} + c\tilde{\alpha} = dt^2(a\gamma - c\alpha) + 2d'\Big(2c\beta t + (a\gamma + c\alpha)\Big)$$

By modulo equations (4.4) and (4.5) by d' we get $d' \big| (a\gamma + c\alpha)(a\gamma - c\alpha)$.

Then for any $p | t = (d', a\gamma + c\alpha)$, we have $v_p(a\tilde{\gamma} + c\tilde{\alpha}) \geq 2$ and $v_p(\tilde{\alpha}) = 1$ as $p \nmid \alpha$. For $p \Big| \frac{d'}{t}$, then $p | (a\gamma - c\alpha)$, therefore $v_p(a\tilde{\gamma} + c\tilde{\alpha}) \geq 1$ and $v_p(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$. Thus we get a solution of $z^2 = dx^2 + 2d'y^2$ satisfying the condition (4.6) by dividing the greatest common divisors of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ in (4.7).

Similarly for $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$ we can get a solution satisfying (4.6). In fact we choose $s = (d', a\bar{c} + c\bar{a})$, and we get a solution

$$\Big(-d\bar{a}s^2 + 2d'\bar{b}s - d'\bar{a},\ d\bar{b}s^2 - 2d\bar{a}s + d'\bar{b},\ \bar{c}(ds^2 - d')\Big)$$

Then do similarly as above.

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 6:

Proof. For $\Lambda_i = (1, d_i, d_i)$ the corresponding genus one curve D_{Λ_i} is:

$$\begin{cases}
H_1: & -nt^2 + d_i u_2^2 - d_i u_3^2 = 0 \\
H_2: & -nt^2 + d_i u_3^2 - u_1^2 = 0 \\
H_3: & 2nt^2 + u_1^2 - d_i u_2^2 = 0
\end{cases}$$

Then we chose

$$Q_1 = (0, 1, 1), \quad Q_2 = (b_i, c_i, d_i a_i), \quad Q_3 = (\beta_i, d_i \alpha_i, \gamma_i)$$

these global points are on H_i follows from equations (4.4) and (4.5). Then the corresponding tangent planes are

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 : &\quad u_2 - u_3 \\ L_2 : &\quad d_i' b_i t - c_i u_3 + a_i u_1 \\ L_3 : &\quad 2 d_i' \beta_i t + \alpha_i u_1 - \gamma_i u_2 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we only need to compute $p|2n\infty$. Since all d_i are bigger than 0 and congruent to 1 (mod 8), hence by properties of Hilbert symbol, they are trivial at $p = 2, \infty$. So we only need to consider those $p|n$:

We can chose local solutions $P_p \in D_{\Lambda_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as following: For $p \big| d_i'$:

$$t = 0, \quad u_2 = -u_3 = 1, \quad u_1^2 = d_i$$

such that $p \big| (c_i - a_i u_1)$, $p \big| (\alpha_i u_1 + \gamma_i)$, as $(c_i - a_i u_1)(c_i + a_i u_1) = d_i' b_i^2$, so we can chose u_1 such that $p \big| (c_i - a_i u_1)$, thus we also have $p \big| (\alpha_i u_1 + \gamma_i)$ follows from condition (4.6). For $p \big| d_i$:

$$t = 1, \quad u_1 = 0, u_3^2 = d_i', \quad u_2 = -j_p u_3$$

such that $p \big| (d_i' b_i + c_i u_3)$, since we have $(d_i' b_i + c_i u_3)(d_i' b_i - c_i u_3) = -n a_i^2$, and $j_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying $j_p^2 = 2$ as in Theorem 3.

Now we begin to compute Cassels pairings:

First we compute $\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j \rangle$ with $i \neq j$, then by Cassels pairing we have

$$\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j \rangle = \langle (1, d_i, d_i), (1, d_j, d_j) \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_j \Big)_p$$

Note that $\left(\frac{d_j}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_j$, so this pairing is trivial at $p|d_j'$, thus we only need consider at those $p|d_j$, and for $p|d_j$:

$$\Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_j \Big)_p = \Big((c_i + a_i u_1)(\alpha_i u_1 - \gamma_i), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(-c_i \gamma_i, d_j \Big)_p = \left(\frac{c_i \gamma_i}{p} \right)$$

Thus we have:

$$\langle (1, d_i, d_i), (1, d_j, d_j) \rangle = \left(\frac{c_i \gamma_i}{d_j} \right)$$

Second we compute $\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j' \rangle$ with $i \neq j$, then Cassels pairing implies that

$$\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j' \rangle = \langle (1, d_i, d_i), (d_j, d_j, 1) \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_1 L_2(P_p), d_j \Big)_p$$

Since $\left(\frac{d_j}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_j$, this pairing is trivial at $p|d_j'$, therefore we suffice to consider at those $p|d_j$, and for $p|d_j$:

$$\Big(L_1 L_2(P_p), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(2(c_i + a_i u_1), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(c_i, d_j \Big)_p = \left(\frac{c_i}{p} \right)$$

Whence

$$\big\langle (1,d_i,d_i),(d_j,d_j,1)\big\rangle = \left(\frac{c_i}{d_j}\right)$$

Third we compute $\big\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i'\big\rangle$, from Cassels pairing we have

$$\big\langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i'\big\rangle = \big\langle (1,d_i,d_i),(d_i,d_i,1)\big\rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_1L_2(P_p), d_i\Big)_p$$

From $\left(\frac{d_i}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_i$ we know this pairing is trivial at $p|d_i'$, thus we reduce to compute at those $p|d_i$, and for $p|d_i$:

$$\Big(L_1L_2(P_p), d_i\Big)_p = \Big((-j_p-1)u_3(d_i'b_i - c_iu_3), d_i\Big)_p = \Big(c_i(1+j_p), d_i\Big)_p = (-1)^{\delta_p}\left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right)$$

Consequently

$$\big\langle (1,d_i,d_i),(d_i,d_i,1)\big\rangle = (-1)^{\delta_{d_i}}\left(\frac{c_i}{d_i}\right)$$

For $\Lambda_i' = (d_i,d_i,1)$, the corresponding $D_{\Lambda_i'}$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} H_1: & -nt^2 + d_iu_2^2 - u_3^2 = 0 \\ H_2: & -nt^2 + u_3^2 - d_iu_1^2 = 0 \\ H_3: & 2nt^2 + d_iu_1^2 - d_iu_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

From equations (4.4) and (4.5) we have global points Q_i on H_i as follows

$$Q_1 = (b_i, c_i, d_ia_i), \quad Q_2 = (\bar{b}_i, d_i\bar{a}_i, \bar{c}_i), \quad Q_3 = (0,1,1)$$

Then the tangent planes at these points are

$$\begin{aligned} L_1: &\quad d_i'b_it - c_iu_2 + a_iu_3 \\ L_2: &\quad d_i'\bar{b}_it - \bar{a}_iu_3 + \bar{c}_iu_1 \\ L_3: &\quad u_1 - u_2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the pairings are trivial outside of $p|n$, hence we only have to consider for those $p|n$. We can chose local solutions $P_p \in D_{\Lambda_i'}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as following: For $p|d_i'$:

$$t = 0, \quad u_2 = -u_1 = 1, \quad u_3^2 = d_i$$

such that $p\big|(c_i + a_iu_3), p\big|(\bar{c}_i - \bar{a}_iu_3)$, as $(c_i - a_iu_3)(c_i + a_iu_3) = d_i'b_i^2$, so we can chose u_3 such that $p\big|(c_i + a_iu_3)$, thus we also have $p\big|(\bar{c}_i - \bar{a}_iu_3)$ follows from condition (4.6). For $p|d_i$,

$$t = 1, \quad u_3 = 0, u_1^2 = -d_i', \quad u_2 = -i_pu_1$$

with $p\big|(d_i'\bar{b}_i - \bar{c}_iu_1)$, since we have $(d_i'\bar{b}_i - \bar{c}_iu_1)(d_i'\bar{b}_i + \bar{c}_iu_1) = n\bar{a}_i^2$, note here we have used $i_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $i_p^2 = -1$ as in Theorem 3.

Now we begin to compute Cassels pairings:

First we compute $\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_j' \rangle$ with $i \neq j$, then by Cassels pairing:

$$\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_j' \rangle = \langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (d_j, d_j, 1) \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_1 L_2(P_p), d_j \Big)_p$$

Note that $\left(\frac{d_j}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_j$, so this pairing is also trivial at $p | d_j'$, thus we only need to consider at those $p | d_j$, and for $p | d_j$:

$$\Big(L_1 L_2(P_p), d_j \Big)_p = \Big((-c_i + a_i u_3)(-\bar{a}_i u_3 - \bar{c}_i), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(c_i \bar{c}_i, d_j \Big)_p = \left(\frac{c_i \bar{c}_i}{p} \right)$$

Thus we have:

$$\langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (d_j, d_j, 1) \rangle = \left(\frac{c_i \bar{c}_i}{d_j} \right)$$

Then we compute $\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_j \rangle$ with $i \neq j$: then from Cassels pairing we have

$$\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_j \rangle = \langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (1, d_j, d_j) \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_j \Big)_p$$

Since $\left(\frac{d_j}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_j$, so we only need to consider at those $p | d_j$, and for $p | d_j$:

$$\Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(-2(-\bar{a}_i u_3 - \bar{c}_i), d_j \Big)_p = \Big(\bar{c}_i, d_j \Big)_p = \left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{p} \right)$$

Whence

$$\langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (1, d_j, d_j) \rangle = \left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{d_j} \right)$$

Finally we compute the pairing $\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_i \rangle$, then by Cassels pairing

$$\langle \Lambda_i', \Lambda_i \rangle = \langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (1, d_i, d_i) \rangle = \prod_p \Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_i \Big)_p$$

From $\left(\frac{d_i}{p}\right) = 1$ if $p \nmid d_i$, we know this pairing is trivial at $p | 2 d_i' \infty$, thus we suffice to compute at those $p | d_i$, and for $p | d_i$:

$$\Big(L_2 L_3(P_p), d_i \Big)_p = \Big((1 + i_p) u_1 (d_i' \bar{b}_i + \bar{c}_i u_1), d_i \Big)_p = \Big(\bar{c}_i (1 + i_p), d_i \Big)_p = (-1)^{\delta_p} \left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{p} \right)$$

Cnsequently

$$\langle (d_i, d_i, 1), (1, d_i, d_i) \rangle = (-1)^{\delta_{d_i}} \left(\frac{\bar{c}_i}{d_i} \right)$$

This completes the proof. □

To give the matrix representation of Cassels pairing under the base $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_k, \Lambda_1', \cdots, \Lambda_k'$, we introduce two matrices over $\mathbb{F}_2$:

$$\Psi = (\psi_{ij}), \quad D^* = \mathrm{diag}(1 - h_8(d_1), \cdots, 1 - h_8(d_k))$$

where $\psi_{ii} = \left[\frac{c_i}{d_i}\right]$ and $\psi_{ij} = \left[\frac{\gamma_j}{d_i}\right]$ if $i \neq j$. By Theorem 3, we have $1 - h_8(d_i) \equiv \delta_{d_i} \pmod 2$. According to the definition of A^* is §3.3 and Proposition 6, we know the Cassels pairing under the base $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_k, \Lambda'_1, \cdots, \Lambda'_k$ has matrix representation of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^* + \Psi & A^{*T} + D^* \\ A^* + D^* & A^* + A^{*T} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.8}$$

where we used that Cassels pairing is symmetric to derive $A^* + \Psi$ is symmetric. Then we have:

Theorem 4. *Let $n = d_1 \cdots d_k$ be a square-free positive integer with all prime factors congruent to* 1 (mod 8)*, satisfying the following ideal class group conditions:*

- $h_4(d_i) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k$*;*
- $\left(\frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}}\right) = 1$ *for any prime divisor p_{i_j} of d_{i_j} with $i_1 \neq i_2$;*

If A^* of n satisfying

(1) A^* is symmetric;

(2) $A^* + D^*$ is non-singular;

Then:

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \;\; Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \big(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\big)^{2k}$$

Proof. From A^* symmetric we know $A^* + A^{*T} = 0$, then the Cassels pairing has matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & A^{*T} + D^* \\ A^* + D^* & \end{pmatrix}$$

by (4.8). Since $A^* + D^*$ is non-singular, then the Cassels pairing on $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^{(n)})/E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is non-degenerate, whence as explained in the introduction

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \;\; Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \big(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\big)^{2k}$$

□

Now we can prove Theorem 2:

Proof. From $h_8(n) = k$ or $h_8(n) = k - 1$ with $[(2, \sqrt{-n})] \notin 4\mathcal{A}$ we know $A^* = 0$ by the epimorphism (3.11) and Proposition 4, hence A^* is symmetric. From $h_8(d_i) = 0$ we get $D^* = \mathrm{diag}\big(1, \cdots, 1\big)$ and $A^* + D^*$ is non-singular, whence (1) and (2) of Theorem 4 are satisfied in this case. Then from Theorem 4 we have

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0, \;\; Ш(E^{(n)}/\mathbb{Q})[2^\infty] \simeq \big(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\big)^{2k}$$

The proof is completed. □

For the case $k = 2$ of Theorem 4 can be characterized by:

Corollary 1. *Let $n = d_1 d_2$ be a square-free positive integer with all prime factors congruent to* 1 (mod 8) *with $h_4(d_1) = h_4(d_2) = 1$ such that: $\left(\frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}}\right) = 1$ for any prime divisor p_{i_j} of d_{i_j} with $i_1 \neq i_2$. Then the conditions (1), (2) on A^* in Theorem 4 are equivalent to:*

- $\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)_4$;
- *Either exact one of* $h_8(d_1), h_8(d_2)$ *is* 0 *and* $\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)_4$ *is* -1*, or both* $h_8(d_1), h_8(d_2)$ *equal to* 0.

Proof. By the definition of A^* with $k = 2$, we may chose $c_1 = c_2$ such that

$$c_1^2 = d_1 a_1^2 + d_2 b_1^2 \tag{4.9}$$

then A^* is symmetric if and only if $\left(\frac{c_1}{d_1}\right) = \left(\frac{c_1}{d_2}\right)$. Since

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{d_1}\right) = \left(\frac{c_1^2}{d_1}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{d_1 a_1^2 + d_2 b_1^2}{d_1}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)_4 \cdot \left(\frac{b_1}{d_1}\right) = \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)_4$$

where $\left(\frac{b_1}{d_1}\right) = 1$ follows from equation (4.9) modulo b_1. Similarly we get $\left(\frac{c_1}{d_2}\right) = \left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)_4$, whence (1) is equivalent to $\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)_4$. Then under this condition A^* has the form

$$\left[\frac{c_1}{d_1}\right] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If $h_8(d_1) = h_8(d_2) = 0$ then $D^* = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1)$ and $A^* + D^*$ is non-singular. If $h_8(d_1) = h_8(d_2) = 1$, then $D^* = 0$ and $A^* + D^*$ is singular. If exact one of $h_8(d_1)$ and $h_8(d_2)$ is 0, then $A^* + D^*$ is non-singular if and only if $\left(\frac{c_1}{d_1}\right) = -1$. Hence this corollary is proved. □

Remark 4. *For* $k = 2$*, the condition of Theorem 2 is non-empty. Since from Theorem 2, we know the* 8*-rank condition is equivalent to* $h_8(d_1) = h_8(d_2) = 0$ *and* $[\mathfrak{d}_1] \in 4\mathcal{A}$*, where the latter is equivalent to* $\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)_4 = 1$*. By Theorem 3 we know* $h_8(d_i) = 0$ *is equivalent to* $\left[\frac{2}{d_i}\right]_4 \equiv \frac{d_i - 9}{8} \pmod 2$*, where* $\left[\frac{2}{d_i}\right]_4$ *is defined similarly as additive Legendre symbol. Then everything reduces to find* n *satisfying certain residue symbol properties, then from independence of residue symbol property over* $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ *in our coming paper [7], we know there are infinitely many such* n*, in particular we can get their density.*

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to Professor Ye Tian, my supervisor, for many instructions and suggestions! I would like to thank Li Cai and Zhe Zhang for carefully reading the paper and giving valuable comments. I also thank Professor Qin Yue for instructions on ideal class group.

References

[1] K. Heegner, 'Diophantische analysis und modulfunktionen,' *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 56 (1952) pp. 227–253.

[2] Y. Tian , 'Congruent numbers and Heegner points,' *Camb. J. Math.*, vol. 2, no. 1 (2014) pp. 117–161.

[3] D. Li, Y. Tian, 'On the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture of elliptic curves $E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2 x$,' *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, vol. 16(2000) pp. 229–236.

[4] R. Rhoades, '2-Selmer groups and the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture for the congruent number curves,' *J. Number Theory*, vol. 129, no. 6(2009)pp. 1379–1391.

[5] Y. Ouyang and S. Zhang, 'On non-congruent numbers with 1 modulo 4 prime factors,' *Sci. China Math.*, vol. 57, no. 3(2014)pp. 649–658.

[6] J. Cassels, 'Second descents for elliptic curves,' *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 494(1998)pp. 101–127.

[7] Z. Wang, 'Congruent elliptic curves with non-trivial Shafarevich-Tate groups-distribution part,' *preprint*.

[8] D. Heath-Brown , 'The size of selmer groups for the congruent number problem II, with appendix by Monsky,' *Invent. Math.*, vol. 118(1994)pp. 331–370.

[9] E. Hecke, *Lectures on the theory of algebraic numbers*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 77(1981, Springer-Verlag)pp. xii+239.

[10] F. Nemenzo and H. Wada, 'An elementary proof of Gauss' genus theorem,' *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, vol. 68, no. 4(1992)pp. 94–95.

[11] H. Jung and Q. Yue, '8-ranks of class groups of imaginary quadratic number fields and their densities,' *J. Korean Math. Soc.* vol. 48, no. 6(2011)pp. 1249–1268.

Zhangjie Wang,
Yau Mathematical Sciences Center,
Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084,China.
zjwang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn